App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 .

File No. 75-80

Serial Nos. 3434

Later References

2 85

G. G. 45-35-1,2

G. G 60-35 (114)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 82.

Part B for July 33

SUBJECT.

Proposal given Dr. of Horticulture & Controller of the North School during 31-32 waste land plantation, fruit nursery and North Inspections etc.

For final orders please see Govt. L 4230-19 19 6/32
GG 15-32-12

pleased in place.

25/8/33

2016
2017
2030

Serial No.	
3	Letter No 2760 dated the 22nd June 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting in the circumstances explained Sanction to the Continuance of at least the Horticultural Inspection during the year 1931-32—
4	Letter No 2764 dated the 20th June 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting in the circumstances explained Sanction to the continuance of the Horticultural School during 1931-32.

The Financial Secretary may be requested to kindly put up the Budget file of the Govern Dept for 1931-32—

Sto 23/6/31

2) Fl. Secy. for kindly putting up the file immediately.

By 23.6.31

Letter No 2745 dated 19/6/31 from the Dr of Hort to the P.S.O forwarding a copy of letter to the Hon Mr. in regard of the Horticultural School.

3) Mr Connelly's file is placed herewith. Cash :- Nil :- with- - Compliments :).

VSRao 28/6/

Com: Pro

4) The budget file of the Govern Dept for 1931-32 is Returned herewith for remarks :- Kind refer is requested to Para 4 and 18 in the file (G.S. 75-30 N 1-2) re separate recommendation for the Continuance of the Horticultural School and the Horticultural Inspection

(put up herewith)

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

2

2016
2017 2030

S.S File No. 75 of 1930. | General and Revenue Secretariat

The Director has requested that at least two Horticulture Inspectors may be continued for next year and also the Horticultural School at a cost of Rs 14610/- The cost on account of the Horticultural Inspectors will be about Rs 2600/- as noted in the margin. As against Rs 3500/- and Rs 4030/- as previously proposed. Submitted for orders

taking for 12 months Rs 2020/-
T. A. allowance 580/-
Total 2600/-

180
24/6/31

5) The proposals seem reasonable & may, perhaps be sanctioned.

8/7
25.6.31.

Ca
25/6
Secys)

6) There is no provision in the Budget for 1931-32 for either Hort. School or for the continuance of Insprs.

7) If the proposals of the Dir. of Horticulture are sd, a sum of Rs 3825 will have to be provided according to the details in statement put up.

Flag M

Rs
School 1305
Inspers 2520

8) I think the school may be contd next year to allow the students now under training to complete their course. I am not in favour of continuing the Hort. Inspers

700
26/6

3d Member
. Dewan
Through Fe Secy

26 6 31

General and Revenue Secretariat | G.G. File No. 75 - 2016/2030 of 1930. App. F. III—2]

Serial No.

H 4793
29-6-31

GENERAL SECRETARY. 30 JUNE 1931 No.

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL 30 JUNE 1931 No. 9985

9) I am afraid I cannot support the proposal of the Director. When we see what other Govts in India - eg U.P - are doing we need not hesitate to go forward with the recommendations according as a very modest scale here. There is no departure with the main framework, at all -

Unless the Dir of Education can find funds by reappropriation of sanctioned parts, it is not possible to accept his make any provision for the items referred to him

29.6.31

10) - 3 W. Meston 2.
Dewan

Pg 30/6(3) Ce 30/6

11) Two may be kept on specially the men in the Bangalore & Kanara Dn

Pg 30/6(3)

12) Pg 30/6(3). Ce 30/6
No Hort school may be continued like next year so Mad. No pupil students may complete their training. It may be continued Nilgiris.

13) As regards the Hor. Inspectors, the man at K.R.S. is necessary & may be continued

DEWAN'S OFFICE 1 - JUL. 1931

GENERAL SECRETARY. 2 JULY 1931 No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | G.G. File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.

ahe Re Manual Bldg

14) If Re Hanamkonda Bldg Boards and Agent, Re Inspection, in Mr Smith's visit Lgr. to fr

Jm
2-7-31.

15) For perusal
Re
2/7/31
Ce
2/7

Fl 40
3.7.31

The Leg Cortes Contts

AD
3.7.31

16)
16) h
3/7/31
h
Ce
4/7

935
887

R. DO letter No 2 dated the 3rd July 1931. from the Director of Horticulture requesting early orders in the matter.

17) The Director of Horticulture has, in connection with the continuance of the temporary Drawing staff, stated that it is difficult for him to suggest any items for reappropriation to meet the cost viz Rs 668/-. Submitted for orders as to how the additional cost of Rs 3825/- has to be met, the School and three Inspectors are ordered to be continued

HD
6/7/31

Re
6.7.31

18) Additional grant will have to be given
Ce
6/7

Secy)

Member

Re Secy

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7

7/7/31

5)

59

GG File No. 75 of 193 0 | General and Revenue Secretariat

19) No action seems to have been taken on the orders in paras 12 to 14

20) Please to calculate what the total addl plant required will be, & put up a Dft GO (the cost being met by addl plant demand & the plans before next D.C

21) Write to the Dist Bds of Kadur and Hassan as in para 14.

KR 7/7/31 Ca 7/7

22) A draft G.O sanctioning the Continuance of the Horticultural Inspector and the Schal and a draft letter to the Dt Boards of Hassan & Kadur are submitted as directed

23) No further action can be taken on the orders in Paras 12-14 above as the source to meet the cost was still unsettled and this point was raised for orders in Para 17 above

24) In connection with the Continuance of the Horticultural Inspector, the Director has stated that he has addressed the Chief Engr. K.R.S. to provide for the pay of the Inspector to be stationed at K.R.S. from the K.R.S. budget. No information having been received in the matter it is a point for consideration as to how the charge have to be met whether by debiting the pay to the K.R.S. budget or by meeting it from the Gardens budget If approved, the file may perhaps be sent unofficially to the

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 75 of 193 a

Serial No.	
	Chief Engineer and Secy K.R.S. for opinion on the point before final orders are issued Submitted for orders SdA 10/7/31 SR 10.7.31. 25) [Three Inspectors are working now. One at K.R.S. and one at Bangalore make two. When Hassan and Kadur Dt. Boards agree to meet the cost of an Inspector each a fourth will be required.] 26) Issue dft: to Dt. Bd. Hassan & re-submit with a typed dft: for approval as revised. Ce 11/7
5	To The Presidents of District Boards Hassan and Kadur Dts No 241-2 dated 13th July 1931 Enquiries whether the District Boards are agreeable to meet the Charges on account of the Horticultural Sections 27) Resubmitted with a typed draft as directed SdA 14/7/31 SR 14.7.31. 28) Dft: for approval Ce 14/7
15/7	29) Fin: Sec: with Confdl: for favour of concurrence (Rebee Devan's remarks in paras 12, 13 & 14) Dj. 15.7.31 Ce 15/7
	SdA 15.7.31 30) We have made a specially large provision for Coop: Sections under Dt. Horticultural Farms — Rs 7000 against Rs 4000 in the past years — I would suggest that either the anticipated receipts be increased as the grants be reduced to provide for

-/1 215 / 15-7-31

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—GPB—50,000—8.30

5·1

AG File No. 75 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

the addl amount now required.

16/7/31

(A881 proc)
The AG & Hort. Dt. may proceed with the work indicated in red on the plan attached. Funds necessary will be provided in the budget estimates for 1931-32.
17.7.

31) Instructions will be issued accordingly to the Director of Horticulture that he must find the funds in the savings under the heads indicated by the Financial Secretary.

1st member
Dewan

17.7.31

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER 17 JULY 1931 No. 492

32) Instructions accordingly

33) put up draft. early

20.7.31

The orders of the Dewan may be issued. The changes of the School and on account of the Inspector for Bangalore may be required to be met in either of the ways suggested by the Secy, the charge on account of the Inspectors for E&R may be met from the E&R budget to which the cost other charges of the terrace gardens and the experimental orchard there are debited.

Mug
20/7/31

20.7.31

34) A revised draft is submitted as per orders in Para 33 above

Secy 20/7/31 SRM 20.7.31 35) Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE 535 21 JUL. 1931

36) 21-7-31

22.7

37) Submitted for approval

Secy

38) Submitted for appl. before issue

1st member

22.7.31

OFFICE OF THE 22 JULY 1931 584

39) Submitted 23/7/31

40) Issued

24.7.31

App.-F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat G/9 File No. 75 of 193 0.

Serial No.	
	~~Approved~~
	41) Draft letter communicating the orders intended may be submitted to the Hon'ble Secy before issue. 27.7.31
	42) 27/7/31
	43) (Issued)
6	To the Director of Horticulture The Comptroller The Secy. KRs No E 404-6 dated the 27th July 1931 sanctioning the Continuance of the Horti school till the end of June 1932 and of two Horti Inspectors one being deputed to KRs. and the other stationed at Bangalore the cost on account of the pay ~~pension~~ pertaining to the KRs. being met out of the KRS. budget and the cost on account of the Horti school and the other Inspectors being met from the sanctioned grant of the Dept.
7	Letter No 13 dated the 26th July 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting in the circumstances explained sanction for a grant of Rs 800/- for the Continuance of the Wasteland plantation Number pending the settlement of the payment of a portion of the amount by the Municipality and

Communicated

X 181
27/7/31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

9) 59 352 372 422

G. S. File No. 75 of 193 0. | General and Revenue Secretariat

the District Board
Letter No Lib-55 2/30-31 dated the 8
20th July 1931 from the President Dt.
Board Kasaragod relating in the negative
to meet the cost of the Horticultural
Inspector attached to Kasaragod
Letter No A2-52-31 dated the 22nd 9
July 1931 from the President Dt Board
Hosdurg stating that the question will
be placed before the Board next month for Consideration
and requesting the continuance in
the meanwhile of the existing arrangement
Secy's orders on the letter of the President Dt Board Kasaragod
44) It is not clear if this is the decision
of the Dt Board or only the President
45) Enquire and if the former ask for Ref to D.F. 257/1
a copy of Resolution Ref to E&A 257/1
46) Submitted with a draft letter to the
President Dt Board Kasaragod as directed
above.
47) As regards the grant to the Kasaragod
plantation the Director has already
been informed* that the model has been * vide Flag A.
finally fixed and that no additional
grants are possible and that he has
to meet any demand by reappropriation
if necessary, out of the departmental
grants. It is a point for consideration whether
the demand for Rs 8000/- for the Kasaragod
plantation should be met from additional grants or the above
circumstances. Submitted for orders
48) Issue dft. as submitted
4/8/31 8.8.31 7/8

General and Revenue Secretariat | G. G File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.	
10	To the President St Boards Kadr. No E 594 dated the 7th August 1931 requesting him to forward a copy of the resolution of the Board for sanction of the Remunerative as directed in Para 48 above.
	50) In the cases represented by the an additional grant of Rs 800. may, however, be sanctioned for the next trial plantation, pending settlement of the contributions to be paid by the local bodies.
	51) Subd. for sanction in the circumstances mentioned by the Dir. H.
	2nd member. terri fin-sec.
	52) The Director H may be requested to point out the source towards the cost. No addl grant is possible.
	53) Yes.
	54) Subd with a draft for addl
	55) Issue

13.8.31

14.8.31 15.8.

15/8

17/8/31

20/8/31 20.8.31

H 591/13-8-1931

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
15 AUG. 1931
No. 127

SECTION
21 AUG. 1931
NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

11)

422 718 719 750

G. G File No. 75 of 193 0 | General and Revenue Secretariat

873 dated the

Letter No E/25 8th August 1931 to the Director of Horticulture calling for a statement in the furnished proforma, to meet the cost on account of the Wasteland plantations | 11

Letter No LB. S 82/30-31 dated 22nd Aug 1931 from the President Dt Board Kolar stating that the proposal will be placed before the Board at their next meeting for consideration and that their resolution will be communicated in due course | 12

Letter No 103/T.A.D B i dated the 22nd August 1931 from the Comptroller enclosing a copy of letter from the Director of Horticulture re the meeting of the Govt of the State Agricultural School & Inspector and requesting specific orders of Govt as to the source to meet the cost | 13

Letter No 40 dated 25/8/31 from the Director of Horticulture stating that out of the two inspectors, one is posted to Bangalore and the other to K.R.Nagara | 14

56) A further report from the President, Dt Board has to be awaited.

57) Re the question of meeting the cost PUD
the Financial Secty may perhaps be consulted
Submd for orders

HD 28/8/31 58) Rep. Secy 29.8.31. H. Secy 29/8 Ce 29/8

H 591/29-8-1931

59) No further remarks
L
29/8

60) The Secy says that the whole question of

750

General and Revenue Secretariat | G.G. File No. 75 of 1930. | App. F. III—2]

Serial No.

Rs 7000 under Labour" is necessary in order to increase the income of the Farm Rs, as re-apportionment from this source is desirable. It, therefore, suggests utilisation of the sale proceeds of the Farm for meeting the cost of the Labour Inspector. This is, perhaps, financially objectionable. It is, however, not clear how the cost has to be met. Ca 1/9

Ca. Ley

CHIEF SECRETARY'S 9 DEC 1931

61) It is financially objectionable (unless it is considered as an advance to be recovered) for accounts pr. Please see — the file. Will it be put to the D. of Ag. for the favour of noting / has he to be asked to meet the objection.

Rg 5/9/31

Not forwarded as per orders in succeeding para

62) Forwarded with compliments to the Director of Agriculture for favour of remarks

B.o

Chandrasekhara

for Offg. Development Secy

7/9/31

Two files made into one

Ho 21/12/31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

814815 13

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat G.G File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.	
15	D.O. Letter No 47 dated the 2nd Sept 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting in the circumstances explained orders for meeting the cost of the pay of the Horticultural Inspector for Hazaras & Kashmir for the months of July and August 1931 from the Horticultural Farm or from the balance available from the provision of the Gardens Asstt in the current year's budget
16	Letter No 48 dated the 2nd Sept 1931 from the Director of Horticulture stating that the cost of Rs 800/- on account of wasteland plantation may be met from the balance of the provision for Gardens Assistant in the current year's budget
	63) The main file which has been ordered to be referred unofficially to the Director of Horticulture is linked herewith. The Financial Secretariat will have to be consulted as to the source from which to meet the cost of the wasteland plantation. The question of meeting the pay of the Horticultural Inspector has to be settled after obtaining the opinion of the Director in the linked file
	Submitted for orders
	H.O. 8/9/31
	64) H. Lee may be consulted 8.9.31
	Dy Secy 9/9.
9/9	Wasteland plantations and grazing grounds
	65) The Director has pointed out the source from

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

817

which I trust the two items of Expre referred to in para. and in accordance
as the Expre Secretary be necessary the proposals may be passed if the Finl Secy has no objn.

Finl Secy (Compts) 9.9.31 Ce 10/9

66) I am afraid I cannot agree to this. Reappropriation.

67) The Waste Land plantation Scheme are therefore to be stopped - no more expenditure need be incurred on it. We have to spend many thousands on this. And much more important and similar items will have to be, because of not fulfilling the promise.

h 10/9

68) 2nd member) MM 11/9 Ce 9/9

70) In view of the definite orders of the Dewan as noted above & the small amount involved viz 800 Rs the Dir's proposal may be passed

JDZ 14/9

71) Fl. Secy Ce 14/9

72) h 16/9 Ce 17/9

68) Please see this letter of 22.7.31 in Confdl file (Flag D) in which he says that when the Dewan visited the plantation on 18.7.31 he gave orders that in no condition the plantation should be given up Ce 11/9 Fl. Secy

R 886/10/9/31

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER COUNCIL 14 SEP. 1931 No. 796

R 886/16-9-31

Mr. R.G.M.

913

App. F. III.—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat G. & File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.	
17	Letter No A 52-31 dated the 31st Aug'31 from the Secretary Board Hassan. stating that the Board have expressed their inability to bear the cost on account of the Horticultural Inspector and requesting in the circumstances explained thereby to the continuance of the Horticultural Inspector at Hassan, at Rs 150 per mensem. 78) The main file is separately submitted to the Financial Secy. in connection with the power to meet the cost of the pay of the Horticultural Inspector. Subject to orders whether its return may be awaited. Cons A 4280 28.9.31 Sec 12/9/31 14.9.31. 74) yes 18/9
18	Letter No 632 dated the 11th Sept 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting orders to meet the cost on account of the Horti Inspector at Bangalore either out of the income realisable months by vessels from the Horti Farm or from the provision for Sundry Contg. Labour Do Letter No 59 dated the 7th Oct 1931 from the Director of Horticulture requesting order on his proposal to meet the cost on account of the Horticultural Inspector and the Horticultural School 75) The letter referred to by the Director is being worked up separately and a f.n. addressing

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01553—G PB—50,000—8-7-30

4 16

9:3 95 1370

G.G. File No. 75 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

the surrenders made by the Director, G'pet up in that file and submit with reference.

In addition to Rs 7695/- required by the Director according to his D.O. letter a further sum of Rs 6057- as detailed in the statement put up will be necessary the total sum thus aggregating to Rs 8300/-.

Orders are solicited on the proposals refd to.

Sd 8/11/31 10.31 76) Transfer will have to be made for the items already earned.

Ce 10/x

M. 15/10

Flag M

The linked file has to be sent D.O. to the Director of Hort. Mysore it marked Flag and as

77) 2nd Member Hon'ble Finl Secy

78) I think the Director's proposal may be sanctioned i.e. so far as meeting the pay of the Horticultural Supts. etc. Hassan Board, Superintendent is concerned. Presume that Asst Director of Horticulture is not kept on Mysore.

19-10-31

79) JSM 3.8.10 M 30/10

Ce 4/11

Letter No LB. 582/30-31 from the President District Board. Hassan stating that the Board have resolved that it is not possible to meet the pay of the Horticultural Inspectors for want of funds

80) The District Boards of Hassan and Kadur are not agreeable to bear the cost of Horticultural

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE MEMBER FOR FINANCE
30 OCT. 1931
No. 2935

Serial No.

Inspector proposed to be appointed for the Districts

88) Orders have already been passed that the services of the Horticultural Inspector attached to Hassan & Kadur Dts will have to be dispensed with unless the respective Dt Boards agree to bear the cost.

89) The Director proposes to meet the ~~Cost~~ pay of the Horticultural Inspectors and the Horticultural School from the grants under Famine Coolies Labour or the sale proceeds of the Horticultural Farm. It has been ordered in Para 15 & 16 above that the Director's proposal may be sanctioned in so far as it relates to the pay of the Horticultural Inspector at Hassan for July and August 1931. It is for orders whether the cost on account of the Horticultural Inspector B'lore for the whole year and the Horticultural School may also be met from the same sources.

90) The Director ~~also~~ proposes to meet the cost on account of the wasteland plantations (Rs 800/-) from the grants under Famine Labour. The continuance of the wasteland plantation has also been ~~ordered~~ sanctioned to be continued (Para 8 above). It is for orders whether this amount may also be met from the grant kept to relieve

91) The total amounts required under the above heads is noted in the margin. for

* I Horticultural School

A) Instruction pay at Rs 75/- for 11 months	Rs	825/-
B) " from April to June 31 (under consolidation)	"	245/-
C) Scholarships	"	520/-
Total	Rs	1610/-

II Horticultural Inspectors Coolie Labour

A) Pay of Horti Inspector B'lore 80x6, 90x5	Rs	930/-
B) " of Horti Inspector Hassan for July & Aug 31		160/-
C) T. A. - about		200/-
Total		1290/-

III Wasteland plantation Rs 800/-

Grand Total Rs 3700/-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

6

954 1320 1654

G. G File No. 75 of 1930. | General and Revenue Secretariat.

ready reference. A draft G.O. sanctioning the recommendations is however submitted for consideration

86) Opn. for approval [The Ch. Engr. has been consulted in the draft that the Govt. Hospl. at Bangalore, the medical and Sanitation & the Govt. School, be also exempt from the grant for temporary establishment] Ce 14/11 14/11

87) In the orders on retrenchments in the Depts, the question of making provision for the items now under discussion has been generally reserved. Question of funds is necessary. From what source this should be allowed has now to be decided. If it is not possible to meet from any of the items already curtailed by the retrenchment orders, it may affect the activities of the Depts considerably. The desirability of where the source should not in the assistants' cost is an objectionable is also a matter for consideration. Recommendations

2nd Memorandum dated 16th Nov 1931 received

MMS 16/11 Ce 16/11

87) I have no objn. The draft order A supplemental statement may be called for

MMS 18/11

19/11 Ce 19/11

88) JPK 21/11/31 MMS 21/11

R. Comm. 882 | B 24.11.31

89) Issue Ce 23/11

90) Draft & give date.

91) Issue 25.11.31

92) Communicated

24/11

R1628 17/11/31

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 20 NOV. 31 No. 3-2-17

TYPING SECTION GENL & REVENUE SECTT. 23 NOV. 1931

1654√ 19

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. G.G. File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.

20 G.O. No 2028-33 dated the 24th Nov 1931 directing that the expenditure account of the Harbour Department, Harbour School and the Wasteland plantation, from the Grants under Temporary Coolie Labour, and stating that ~~as to~~ the question of recovering a portion of the expenditure account of the wasteland plantation from the Dt Board Timaru, and the Timaru Municipality will be communicated separately.

(93) The question of recovering a portion of the ~~Cost~~ expenditure on the wasteland plantation has to be settled. The President of Dt. Board and the Municipality of Timaru respectively have to be consulted as to the ~~portion of expenditure~~ amount they are willing to contribute. Submit with a draft for ~~Consideration~~ Consideration

Sto 5/12/31 EM 5.12.31 94) For approval before issue Ce 9/12

Dar. Secy

95) Hon. Member 9/12

96) Secy Ce 10/12

TYPING SECTION 10 DEC. 1931 GEN'L & REV SECTT.

21 Letter No E 2241-2 dated the 11th Dec 1931 to the President Dt Board Timaru and the Dc Timaru respectively enquiring how much of the portion of Expenditure on the wasteland plantation they would be willing to bear.

22 Letter No F.C 1359/31-32 dated the 22nd Dec 1931 from the President Dt Board Timaru

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30.

8/ Wasteland Plantation. 1654
Trincomalee

2361

3254

20 G.G File No. 75 of 1930 General and Revenue Secretariat

Stating that the Board are agreeable to contribute a sum of Rs 100/- provided the Municipality and the Govt are agreable to pay the balance

97) The report on the Contribution by the Municipal Council, Trincomalee will be awaited

Sgd A.

Sgd 16/1/32 8/1/32

16.1.32. 98) yce 20/1

EDUCATION 23 JAN. 1932 GEN. & REV. SECT.

Letter No E.C. 173/30-31 dated the 23rd March 1932 from the D.C. Trincomalee stating that Trincomalee Municipality have expressed their inability to make any contribution towards the Scheme.

23.

99) The District Board have agreed to ~~Contribute~~ Contribute a sum of Rs 100/- provided the Municipality and Govt are prepared to meet the balance of the cost. The Municipality have expressed their inability to make any contribution towards the Scheme. Submitted for orders with reference to Para 2 of the letter dated 22/1/31 (Vide D) and also Para 7 of the Notes

Sgd 30/3/32 8/3.32

30.3.32 100) In the circumstances, the cost may perhaps be shared between the Govt. (Rs 700) & the Dist. Bd. (Rs 100) as suggested by the

CF F 2 MAR 1932 5928

1st member Hon. Financial Secretary 101) Agree. ce 30/3

MK 31/3 ce 31/3

102) h 1/4/32 ce 1/4

H 4139/31-3-32

103) MMK 4/4/32 MM 4/4 ce 4/4

32 57-4 Waste-land Plantation Timber 9.
3 02-4-4

General and Revenue Secretariat G. 9 File No. 1. 75 of 193 0

App. F. III—2] 21

Serial No.	
	104) Draft rule & draft G.O for abvd
	8/4/32 8.4.32 105) Issue 8/4
	106)
	Fwd: seen: has agreed. Dube-Hawthorne.
	8/4/32 1/4 107) Issue 1/4
	108) Communicated
24	8/8 14/4. G.O No E 4152-5 dated 12-4-32 directg that the cost on account of the waste land plantation be shared between the Govt Dept (Rs 700/-) and the Dt Board Tumkur Rs 100/- and necessary provision be made in the
25	Letter No T.C. 1809 dated 5/5/32 from the President Dt. Board Tumkur stating that the grant by the Dt Board was conditional one and that, as the municipality alone derive the benefit have not agreed to pay, the Dt. Board can also agree to pay the contribution and that the grant was for one year only and not a permanent recurring one
109	The letter of the President is flagged H. and marked on the P.W.D. Submitted for orders vide refee to Paras 99-103 above

R. Cell. 2057

Please give a copy of Reply to the Budget Section for Govt

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

18/5/32 18.5

W.D. 02553—G.P.B.—50-7-32—8.7.30

Washerman's Plantation Tamkuh

G. G. File No. 75 of 1930. | General and Revenue Secretariat

110) There is nothing in the resolution (Flag A) to show that the Dt. Bd. agreed to the grant for only one year.

111) The contention of the Board that because the Municipality has not agreed to bear any portion of the cost, they can not agree to do so, is untenable. As both the Dist. Bd. and the Govt. Ch. dispensary benefit from the plantation, Govt. should ask them to bear a portion of the expenses. The Govt. Ch. are apparently not in a position to contribute anything. It is, therefore, unreasonable for the Board to make this a ground for evading their own share of the cost. After all, the sum is very small. They may be requested to reconsider their decision.

Dr. Lee 29/5

112) The attitude of the Tamkuh Dt. Bd. seems puerile. So long as Rs 700 is met out of State funds, it shd. be a matter of indifference to the Dt. Bd. as to whether they duly paid any State a not. It seems a matter not worth taking up with the Bd. for the small sum of Rs 100. This amt. also may be met out of State funds.

1st Member) 113) I would suggest that the cost be Mrs 27.5.
H. Lee A reduced to Rs 700, the State Grant. 27/5

L 29.5.32

Fd 990 27.5.32

A 114) The Dir of Horticulture may be addressed in this point Mrs 27/5 Ce 29/5

Mrs 2/6/32 Mrs 2/6 Ce 2/6

FT 43 JL
Finance
30 MAY 1932
No. 2767

Wattelaud
plantation at
Tumkur

3864

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — G. O. File No. 75 of 1930. — 23

Serial No.

115) Submitted, with a draft

Hd 2/6/32 SRy 2.6.32.

116) Seen CE 4/6

TYPING SECTION * 4. JUN. 1932 * GEN'L & REV SECTT.

26 Letter No 4824 dated 7-6-32 to the Dr of Hort. enquiring whether the Cost cannot be reduced to Rs 700/-

Draft

27 Letter No 248 dated 9th June 1932 from the Director of Horticulture, stating that the sum of Rs 800/- has been allowed in the Provisional budget and requesting that the amount be retained as such

117) The Director does not answer the question put to him but requests that the provision of Rs 800/- be retained because it has been included in the Provisional budget. Kind reference is invited to the second para of the letter of 24-7-31 of the Dr of Horticulture dated when he reports that the Govt ordered that a part of the expenses should be contributed by the Dt Board & the Municipality. The District Board state that, because the Tumkur Municipality who derive benefit from the Scheme have not agreed to pay, they also cannot agree to pay the Contribution.

Hd D G.O 75-30-7

118) Submitted for orders whether the expenditure be arbitrarily reduced to Rs 700/- or ~~Tumkur~~ both the Dt Board Tumkur and the Tumkur Municipality be called upon to pay the remaining Rs 100/- or the full provision of Rs 800/- be retained.

Hd 14/6/32 SRy 15.6.32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Wasteland Plantation, Tumkur

24 4515 4120

File No. 7c of 1931.

General and Revenue Secretariat

119) The Director may be requested to reply to the Board's and Municipality's demands for the expenditure absolutely necessary

der. Leg. a 7/6

120) Nodal's necessary. His answer might

be awaited for the question that point raised

11/7 17/6 a 10/6

TYPING SECTION
21 JUN. 1932

121) Submitted with a draft

Ho 20/6/32 8/7 20.6.32 122) Issued a 20/6

Letter No E 5030 dated 22/6/32 to the Dr of Horticulture enquiring definitely whether the cost cannot be reduced to Rs 700/- 28

Rec'd 26.6.32

Letter No 261 dated 27/6/32 from the Dr of Horticulture stating that the reduction would affect the efficient maintenance of the plantation and nursery 29

EDUCATION BRANCH
23 JUN. 1932
GEN. & REV. SECTT.

123) The Director of Horticulture states that no reduction is possible. Both the Dt. Board and the Municipality have not agreed to contribute. Submitted for orders

Ho 1/7/32 8/7 124) The extra sum of Rs 100 will be 1.7.32 also have to be met from Sch Funds as suggested para 112. The Supdt. Dir. may be asked to reappropriate the sum from the Lumsden grants of this dept

der. Leg. a 4/7

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST ME...
4 JULY 1932
No. 90

125 1st minute) 1/7 2/7/32 a 4/7

General and Revenue Secretariat G.G. File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.

126) I am not sure if the balance can be found by reapp^n. - In any case let the Dept make an attempt to find it and keep the activity going.

127) The attitude of both the local bodies is not right. Please send copy of DCH's letter of 6.5.32 to the municipality and say that because the municipality does not pay the DCH^s is also unwilling to pay. The plantation & nursery are of value to both and this not right to refuse to pay towards their maintenance - Please address the DCH^s and say that ~~it is not right to~~ the grant may be continued as a large part of the expenditure is met by Govt and as the plantation & nursery are intended for local benefit. And that the municipality has also been asked & consents and that it is not right to hold that the grant for a work of this kind is extended to the queen for only one year only

4(7)/32

MM 5/7

CA 5/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

14/26

4515 — Waterleeroo Trincomalee

472 — 1402

G. G File No. 75 of 193 0

General and Revenue Secretariat

128) Submitted with three drafts

Ha 8/7 12.7.32 | 129) Issue ca 14/7

SECTION
14 JUL. 1932
GEN'L. & REV SEC.

Letter No 212 dated 16/7/32 to the Supt Bot Gardens calling for suggestions for reappropriate of Rs 100/- for the maintenance of the plantation 30

GENERAL SECRETARY.
22 AUG. 1932
No

Letter No 213-4 dated 16/7/32 to the Dist Secretary Trincomalee and the President Dt Board requesting them to place the question again before the Dist Council and the Dt Board respectively in the light of the observations marked (a) and calling for the opinion of the respective bodies 31-2

Letter No L.F.2-C 88/32-33 dated 29th July 1932 from the President Dt Board Trincomalee stating that the Dt Board are not prepared to make an annual contribution towards the maintenance of the plantation 33

EDUCATION BRANCH
20 JUL. 1932
GEN. & REV.

130) Submitted for perusal. The reply from the Trincomalee Municipality & the Dist Bot Gardens will be awaited

131)

Ha 8/8 18.8.32 | Gen Leg 19/8 ca 10/9 | 4/9

PR

42/5/32 ca o/p

GENERAL SECRETARY.
22 AUG. 1932
No

Sec A Rem. 15.9.32

EDUCATION BRANCH
24 AUG. 1932
GEN. & REV. SEC.

1462

1476

15

27

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat G.G File No. 75 of 1930.

Serial No.

34 Letter No LDis. 6.218/32-33 dated the 16th Oct 1932 from the D.C. Tumkur, forwarding a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council Tumkur expressing their inability to meet the cost of the municipal share.

2 OCT. 1932 No. 2575

(33) Submitted for perusal. The reply from the Asstt. Col. Secy. re the reappropriation of Rs 100/- to meet the cost of plantation watches awarded (34) For perusal vide reply to Item 127 Ca 27/X

Sec 19/10/32 Sec 19.10.32 Asst. Secy

Asst. Secy 30/10/32 Ca 31/X

Sec. 1st 1/11

EDUCATION BRANCH 5 NOV. 1932 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

(35) The Superintendent replies that no reappropriations are possible and asks for an additional grant. The Dist. Board and the Municipality of Tumkur have stuck to their original resolution and are not agreeable to contribute towards the maintenance of the plantations inspite of requests made as per order in para 127 above.

(36) A draft G.O. sanctioning an additional grant to the Dept to meet certain other demands along with this sum of Rs 100/- is placed in file GG 15-32. Submitted for orders with reference to Para 127 above

(Leaders)

Sec 6/6/33 Sec 7.6.33.

(Vide final orders issued in G.G. file No. 15/32)

Sec 14/6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

EDUCATION BRANCH 13 JUL. 1933 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Typing of G.O. No. 4230-107 1933 placed in file

W.P.—30—GBPM—60,000—17-8-31

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE.
MYSORE STATE.

Immediate

D. O. No. 2760
G1.

2016 Edn
22/6/31

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,
Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, June 20, 1931

Rgt G 75-30-3

My dear Sir Mirza,

Refer-
urgent
RJ
22/6/31.
22/6

I have noticed with regret that the Government have abolished the three Horticultural Inspectors' posts. This is a very retrograde step indeed and will seriously hamper the development of Fruit Cultivation and Horticultural Activity in the Districts. A very large number of ryots have taken up work and started gardens under the advice of these men and the orchards at Hassan and District Board Nursery at Chickmagalur which are looked after by the Inspectors have created interest among the people and helped to increase horticultural activities. To abandon all this work ~~xiii~~ and deprive people of the services of the Inspectors will do immense harm which it would take years to make good. I take it that the retrenchment is intended only as a temporary measure but the savings so effected will be insignificant to the loss which is bound to accrue in the work.

The third Inspector is in charge of Bangalore district where we have started some 200 apple-gardens. He has also to go to the other five districts where as yet no Inspectors are appointed, since, many requisitions are received from those Districts also.

In all cases of loans these men are the only means of making initial reports in case of applications and of controlling the work after loans are sanctioned.

I have written to the District Boards of Hassan, Kadur and Bangalore to see whether they will find ~~this~~ their pay, but ~~xxxx~~ under present conditions I doubt whether they will agree.

I have also written to Mr. Seshachar to provide for supervision charges for the Krishnaraja Sagara Work apart from the Terrace Gardens and Nursery. We have now planted 15 acres of orchard and the planting up of 50 acres with Mango and Cocoanuts is also being pushed on. Further fruit-planting along the Irwin Canal has to be developed. It is therefore necessary to have an Inspector on the spot and if we can charge our man to this work, I will for a short time do without the Bangalore Man and save his pay to the Department so that you need only sanction the remaining two. I sincerely request you to give this matter your kind consideration.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely

Amin-Ul-Mulk
Sir Mirza M. Ismail, Kt., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore.

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

3

D. O. No.

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, June 20, '31 *19* .

Dear Mr. Seshachar,

When I submitted the estimates for the Orchard under the Krishnaraja Sagara Dam, I included the pay of an Horticultural Inspector since for this work and the development of several units of fruit-gardens along the Irwin Canal make a whole time supervision imperative. The Government did then not pass the item of supervision. Now the orchard is fully planted and we are preparing 50 acres for Mangoes and Cocoanuts, but unless there is a supervisor on the spot, the work cannot be successful. You will remember that we agreed that even the orchard should be to its greater extent a profitable demonstration but by merely letting a lot of coolies loose on the work without technical supervision is futile and means courting failure. I shall thank you to kindly provide at least Rs. 1,200/- for the next official year so that I can appoint a man by 1st July.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

Sd. G.H. Krumbiegel

Rajasevasakta
K.R. Seshachar, Esq., B.A., B.E.,
Chief Engineer, K.R.S. Works.

m/6/31

2017 SM
22-6-31

(4)

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER
20 JUNE 1931
No. 7589.

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

Dear Sec'y.

G.G 75-30-4

D. O. No. 2744

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, June 19, '31 *19*

Dear Mr. D'Souza,

22 6 31

In connection with the closing of the Horticultural School I had already pointed out to you while discussing retrenchments that I would not call for fresh admission this year but that it was essential that we should give facilities to the 2nd and 3rd year students to complete their course. I am sorry to see that now after all the entire closing of the School is ordered.

There are 3 studens in the final class which finishes by the end of December next. Out of these two are Government Scholarship holders i.e. Rs.15/- per month each. To complete ~~khxxx~~ x this class therefore Rs.180/- will be required for next year.

There are six students in the second year class of which two also hold scholarships. ~~Hxx~~ For them we should require Rs.360/- for the whole year.

There is only one official for the School i.e. the Horticultural Instructor on Rs.75/-. His pay will thus amount to Rs.900/- for the year. This instructor has also charge of the Herbarium and the Library since we have never been able to get sanction for any hands for this work. On the other hand the Assistant for economic work was sent to the Agricultural Department and his post abolished and the post of the technical assistant lately lent to the Palace has also been ordered to be kept vacant. Therefore both on account of the School as also in consideratioo of his/other work the one hand at least may be retained.

I do not think it is at all right to set aside our moral obligation/~~to~~ not allow the students ~~kx~~to complete their course after they have spent 2½ years and 1½ years in the School and both on behalf

of

5

of the students as also in consideration of the integrity of Government, I strongly request that at least the above sums i.e. Rs.900 plus 360 plus 180=1440 be sanctioned for continuing the School during next year in the first instance.

I shall do without the peons and contingencies.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

Rajakaryapravina

P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Member of Council

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE

MYSORE STATE.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
No. M 851
22 JUN 1931

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
23 JUNE 1931
No. 9636.

EDUC. & REV. SECTION
2030
23 JUN 1931

D. O. No. 2145 61.

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, EDUC. & REV. SECTN.

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, June 19, *193*1.

Dear Mr. Singaruvelu,

Q.Q 75-30

I am enclosing a copy of a letter I have addressed to Mr. P.G. D'Souza with reference to the continuance of the Horticultural School for at least next year. As there is only little time, I have written ~~xxx~~ unofficially and shall be glad if you give this copy to the Dewan with my request to kindly approve of the proposal.

Yours sincerely,

T. Singaruvelu Mudaliar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Pte. Secy. to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sent for perusal
He may kindly be
sent in one course for
orders

23 6 31

21.6.31

Seen by the
Member

21/6/31

7)

ಪಿ6 ನಂ. 2744

June 19, '51

Dear Mr. D'Souza,

In connection with the closing of the Horticultural School I had already pointed out to you while discussing retrenchments that I would not call for fresh admission this year but that it was essential that we should give facilities to the 2nd and 3rd year students to complete their course. I am sorry to see that now after all the entire closing of the School is ordered.

There are 3 studens in the final class which finish by the end of December next. Out of these two are Government Scholarship holders i.e. Rs.15/- per month each. To complete ~~khatx~~ x this class therefore Rs.180/- will be required for next year.

There are six students in the second year class of which two also hold scholarships. ~~Now~~ For them we should require Rs.360/- for the whole year.

There is only one official for the School i.e. the Horticultural Instructor on Rs.75/-. His pay will thus amount to Rs.900/- for the year. This instructor has also charge of the Herbarium and the Library since we have never been able to get sanction for any hands for this work. On the other hand the Assistant for economic work was sent to the Agricultural Department and his post abolished and the post of the technical assistant lately lent to the Palace has also been ordered to be kept vacant. Therefore both on account of the School as also in consideration of his/other work the one hand at least may be retained.

I do not think it is at all right to set aside our moral obligation/~~not~~ to allow the students ~~kn~~to complete their course after they have spent 2½ years and 1½ years in the School and both on behalf

of

8

of the students as also in consideration of the integrity of Government, I strongly request that at least the above sums i.e. Rs. 900 plus 360 plus 180=1440 be sanctioned for continuing the School during next year in the first instance.

I shall do without the peons and contingencies.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

Sd.- G.H. Krumbiegel

Rajakarymravina

P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Member of Council

"Copy"

Director of Horticulture

PLEASE QUOTE
No.
Enc.
in reply

Urgent.



TELEGRAPH :—GARDENS.
TELEPHONE :—No. 258.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVERNMENT GARDENS IN MYSORE,
LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE,

MR. H. C. JAVARAYA, L.AG., F.L.S., F.R.H.S.,
Supt., Govt. Gardens.

5'9

Dated 3-7- 1931.

Dear Sir,

A requisition was made to the third Member of Cou Council in my D.O. No.2744 dated 20th ultimo for the continuance of the Horticultural School until the completion of the course to the present batch of students but no orders have yet been received in the matter. I shall esteem it a favour if you will kindly obtain the necessary sanction of Government at an early date.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

To

N. Rama Rao,Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Development Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

BKS.

10

Appendix F G–1]

Two drafts

'SUBJECT.....

Type for issue.

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. G 241/2

To

The Presidents of the Board
Hassan & Kadur
through D.C's.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

I am directed to state that it is not possible to continue the ~~Horticultural~~ Inspector in your ~~Dr~~ ~~Rs 60/- pm is sanctioned~~ to ~~be~~ ~~after the orchards at Harangi etc~~ ~~the Dt Board Nursery at Chickmagalur etc~~ at Gut Coff. ~~and that Hon Secy~~ ~~it is reported that the services of~~ ~~the Inspector are being diverted~~ ~~by the Municipalities and~~ ~~the District Board for in the matter~~ ~~of fruit cultivation etc and that~~ ~~Govt consider that, that the~~ in the present financial stringency, ~~Govt~~ ~~cannot~~ to meet the expenditure ~~on account of the Inspectors from~~

Date of issue 13/7/37

Signed by

I am directed to state that Govt. regret that ~~the~~ ~~sanction~~ on account of financial stringency, the post of Horticultural Inspector in your district will have to be abolished during the current year, unless the Dt. Bd. agrees to meet the cost.

I am, therefore, to request you to be so good as to intimate immediately the opinion of the Bd. in the matter

List of enclosures.....

Copied by Seen 17.7.37

Examined by.....

~~the General Purposes~~ and to request
you to be so good as to furnish
~~your~~ the opinion of the Board
whether they are willing to meet
the ~~Cost~~ pay of the Inspector from
their Imprest ~~A/c of Board~~.

I am also to state that
has made a reference
the Director of Horticulture ~~referred~~ to you
~~and you have been addressed~~
in the matter and to request you
to be so good as to furnish the
opinion called for immediately

I have etc

Dev Secy

8/11

11.7.31.

Immediate

12

13th July 1931.

The

The President,
District Board, Hassan/Kadur ....
(Through The Deputy Commissioner)

I am directed to state that Government ~~are~~ regret that on account of financial stringency, the post of Horticultural Inspector in your District will have to be abolished during the current year, unless the District Board agrees to meet the cost. I am, therefore, to request you to be so good as to intimate immediately the opinion of the Board in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dpr. Ca 13/7

Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

C.R.G.3.

G.G 75-30-6 13

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

×P G.O. No. 11078-80/G.G.43-29-6 dated 4-4-30 sanctioning the continuance of three Horticultural Inspectors during the year 1930-31.

×Q G.O. No. 11175-7/G.G.51-29-14 dated 5-4-30 sanctioning among other things, the continuance of the Horticultural School during the year 1930-31.

Correspondence ending with letters Nos. 2744 and 2760 dated the 20th and 22nd June 1931 respectively from the Director of Horticulture, requesting in the circumstances explained, sanction for the continuance of the Horticultural School at a cost of Rs. 1440/- as detailed in the margin and of the Horticultural Inspectors during the year 1931-32.

Rs. 900/-	pay of the Horticultural Inspector.
" 540/-	Scholarships.
Rs.1440/-	

Order No. 6404-5 dated 27th July 1931.

In connection with the framing of the budget for the year 1931-32, the Director of Horticulture forwarded proposals for the continuance of the Horticultural School at a cost of Rs. 3,500/- and for the continuance of the cost of the Horticultural Inspectors. In view of the financial stringency, however, Govt. were unable to accept the proposals and directed that both the appointments of Horticultural Inspectors and the Horticultural School be discontinued with effect from 1-7-1931 as a measure of economy. Provision was, therefore, made for only one month in the budget for 1931-32 to

meet the pay of the establishment for June 1931.

The Director of Horticulture has again requested that the Horticultural School may be continued for the year 1931-32 at a reduced cost of Rs. 1440/- as detailed on the margin, so as to enable the students who have already undergone the training for 2½ years to complete their course. He also urges the retention of the three Horticultural Inspectors so that the work already done by them and the money spent so far on the development of fruit culture may not be wasted. He proposes that one of these Inspectors may be stationed at K.R.S. to supervise the Terrace Gardens and the Orchards there, the cost on this account being debited to the K.R.S. budget, and the other two retained in the Department to supervise the fruit cultivation work &c., in the Districts.

Rs. 900/-	pay of an Instructor at Rs. 75/- per mensem.
" 540/-	Scholarships.
Rs.1440/-	

In these circumstances, Govt. are pleased to direct that the Horticultural School be continued till the end of June 1932, at a cost of Rs. 1440/- as proposed by the Director.

As regards the Horticultural Inspectors, Govt. are pleased to direct that two Inspectors be continued during 1931-32, one being posted to the K.R.S. to supervise the Terrace Gardens & the Orchard cultivation and the other at Bangalore for Work. The services of the Inspectors at Hassan and Chickmagalur will have to be dispensed with, unless the respective District Boards agree to bear the cost of their appointments. Final orders in this respect will be issued after learning the views of these District Boards.

(15)

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

The cost on account of the School Horticultural and the Horticultural Inspector at Bangalore will be met either by improving the anticipated receipts under the Horticultural Farm. or restoring the grant of Rs 7000/- provided for Coorg Labour under 27 Agri. 3 Hort. Farm. in the current year's budget.

The Charges on account of the Inspector to be posted to K.R.S. may be met from the K.R.S budget to which the other items of cost of the terrace gardens and the Experimental orchard are debited. The Chief Engr K.R.S is requested to point out direct to the Comptroller the specific source from which the cost will be met

A
22.7.31

Copy Dev Secy

To The Director of Horticulture
The Comptroller
The Chief Engr K.R.S

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18-5-27

BRJ
20.7.31

Telegraphic address "Horti., Bangalore." Telephone No. 258.

E-97-30-1

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

EDUCATIONAL BRANCH
25 JUL. 1931
352
GEN. SECTION

No. 13

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore,
July 22, '31 *193*
24

FROM

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
24 JUL. 1931

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
DIRECTOR OF HORTICULTURE IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Early D
W 1917

Sir,

N With reference to Government Order No. E 1959 Dated 5-6-31 directing that the Wasteland Plantation at Tumkur should be abandoned, I have the honour to state that in view of the value of the trees already planted and those available in the Nursery (Plantation 2,474 and Nursery 13,293 trees), I addressed the District Board and the Municipality at Tumkur requesting them to take charge of the Plantation as otherwise all these trees would be lost. The Municipality passed a resolution that their financial circumstances do not permit. The District Board have not yet met and their is no decision from them.

Meanwhile, when the Dewan visited Tumkur on the 18th instant I showed him round the plantation and Nursery and he was pleased to order that under no circumstances the Plantation and Nursery should be given up, but that the latter may be extended to supply fruit-trees also and a part of the expenses should be contributed by the District Board and the Municipality.

I have already extended the nursery work to Shade trees which will be useful for both the District Board and Municipality and fruit-trees will also be raised in future. I therefore request that the amount of Rs 800/- as per my original budget provision may be sanctioned and the question as to what contribution should be

paid

paid by the District Board and Municipality may be settled later on.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Horticulture

22.7.87

EDUCATION 372 18
25 JUL. 1931

No. L. B. 582/30-31.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
25 JUL. 1931

Dated, Chickmagalur 17th July 1931

G.G 75-30-8

From

HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, Esquire,
President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
~~General~~ Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, BANGALORE

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District, CHICKMAGALUR.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. E. 241-2/G.L.75-30-5 dated the 13th instant enquiring if the Kadur District Board would agree to meet the cost of the post of the Horticultural Inspector now proposed to be abolished by Government, I have the honour to reply in the negative.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,
Kadur District Board.

13i.

A 3
ora
21.7.31

N.Dis 139-31
25

N.R.

Forwarded with Compliments.

It is not clear if this is the decision of the D.Bd or only President

25/7

Enquire if the former is for copy of resolution

25/7

Deputy Commissioner,
21.7.1931 Kadur District.

G G 75-30-5

TEL. ADDRESS: "PRESBOARD"

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

No. A2-52-31 Hassan, Dated 22nd July 1931

ENCLS.

EDUCATIONAL BRANCH
29. JUL. 1931
422
GEN. & REV. SECTN

FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Depattment,
B a n g a l o r e.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
25 JULY 1931
HASSAN.

THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN DISTRICT.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.E.241-2/G.S. 75-30-5, dated 13th July 31, calling for the opinion of the Board in the matter of meeting the cost of the Horticultural Inspector from District Funds, I have the honor to state that the subject will be placed before the Board for consideration at their meeting to come off in the middle of next month and their resolutions communicated soon after the meeting.

In the meanwhile the present arrangement may kindly be continued, as his services are very necessary.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
29. JUL. 1931

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H. B. Gundappa
President,
District Board, Hassan.

Forwarded with Compliments

Deputy Commissioner.
Hassan District

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S. S

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. S594

To The President Dt Board
Kaidu

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 7/8/31

Signed by M Chan

Sir,

With refee to your letter No L-B.
5`82/30-31 Dated the 20th July 1931
~~relying~~ relying ~~to~~ in the negative Xe
as to whether the Dt-Bd. would
meet the cost of the Horticultural
Inspector attached to the Kasur Dt,
I am directed, ~~to that that~~ this is the
not clear if ~~this~~ decision arrived
~~at, was that~~ of the Dt Board or only
~~the President and, if the former,~~
to request you to be so good as to
place the matter before the Dist. Bd.
~~and a copy of the resolution~~
and submit their views for the info of
~~of the Board for the information~~
Govt. I may add that the Kasur Dt
~~Chairman~~
Bd. President ~~expressed~~ to

I have etc

Ds 5/8

List of enclosures..... Dft Corrected by Secretary by mistake for Dft as issued

Copied by..... 13/8/31 put up in file

Examined by.....

+ Secretary
8/8
14.8.31.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 6th August 1931.

No. 6594
88 75-30-10

From D. Srinivasaiengar, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

The President,

District Board, Kadur.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.B.582/30-31 dated the 20th July 1931 replying in the negative as to whether the District Board would meet the cost of the Horticultural Inspector attached to the Kadur District, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward a copy of the resolution of the Board for the information of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,

Development Departments.

ACH.2.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 11

No. 873

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To The Director of Hort.

Date of issue 25-8-31

Sir,

Signed by mc Clon

With reference to your letter No 13 dated the 24th July 1931 re the Continuance of the Wasteland plantation at Tumbur. I am directed to state that, Govt. regret that it ~~no doubt~~ is not possible to make an additional grant for the purpose ~~is not possible~~ and to request you to arrange as to thinly print out at once from the Sanctioned grants of your Dept to meet the cost.

List of enclosures.....

I have etc

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Ca 21/8

for Chief Secy

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

8/9
20. 8. 31.

EDUCATION BRANCH
25 AUG 1931
GEN. & REV. SECTN.

No. L. B. 582 /30-31

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chickmagalur 20th August 1931

Rg. G 75-30-12

From

HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, Esquire,
President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

To

D. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Department, BANGALORE

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District, CHICKMAGALUR.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. E. 594/H. 75-30-10 dated 7th August 1931 calling for a copy of the resolution of the Board in the matter of the retention of the Horticultural Inspector for this District, I have the honour to state that as desired, the matter will be placed for consideration of the Board at their next meeting and their resolution communicated to Government in due course.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

3855

President,

Kadur District Board.

N.R.

Forwarded with Compliments.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

23.8.1931

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 103/TAD Bi Bangalore, dated 22nd August 1931.



From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

G. G. 75-30-13

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Govt. Order No.E.404-6/Ag.75-30-6 dated 27-7-31 directing among other things the continuance of the Horticultural School and the Horticultural Inspector to the end of June 1932, the cost thereof being met by improving the anticipated receipts under Horticultural Farm or reducing the grant under cooly labour, I have the honor to enclose herewith a copy of reply No.347 dated 12-8-31 received from the Director of Horticulture to this office objection slip No.T.765 dated 7-8-31 asking him to point out specific source to cover the charge. It is necessary under the Govt. Order referred to that the Director should be able to realise more than the Budget Estimate from the sale proceeds of the farm to cover the expenditure, or should arrange to effect saving on the sanctioned grants for other purposes.

Pending receipt of specific orders of Government in the matter the bills received from the Director ~~has~~ have been passed provisionally. It is requested that early orders may be obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Comptroller

CV

P.T.O.

25

D.O.No.247/~~Ency~~Encs.3 dated 12-8-1931 from the Director of Horticulture in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Comptroller in Mysore, Bangalore.

=====

In returning herewith the pay bill of the Horticultural Inspector returned objected to with your Memo No.T.765 dated 7th & 8th, I write to state that in accordance with Government Pro. No.E.404-6/G.G.75-30-6 dated 27th ultimo, the pay of the Horticultural School and the Inspector at Bangalore should be met by the realisations of the Horticultural Farm or reducing the grant of Rs 7,000/- provided under temporary cooly labour. With a view to enhance the income of the Farm, a lot of labour has to be employed for which the full sum allotted is requred for Expenditure and the only alternative would be to meet the charges from the sale proceeds realisable in the Farm month by month. In these circumstances I request you to be so good as to issue necessary instructions to pass the bills early.

" Copy "

22.8.31

EDUCATION BRANCH
27. AUG. 1931
GEN. & REV. SECTION

Telegraphic Address "Horti., Bangalore"

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

No. 40

In reply please quote in full the Nos. and indicative symbol given below.

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore,
25 - 8 - 1931.

75-30-14

FROM

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL., ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
Director of Horticulture in Mysore, Lal-Bagh,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

As directed in Government Pro.No.E.404-6/G.G. 75-30-6 dated 27th July last, I have the honor to report, for the information of Government, that out of the two Inspectors sanctioned one is posted to Bangalore and the other to Krishnarajasagara to look after the Orchard work there.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Horticulture.

BKS.

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

Immediate

27

D. O. No. 47

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore, 3-9- *1931.*

G. 9 75-30-15

Dear Sir,

Adverting to Government Pro. No.E.. 404-6/G.G.75-30-6 dated 27th July last directing that two Horticultural Inspectors be continued during 1931-32, one being posted for Bangalore and the other to Krishnarajasagara Works and stating that final orders would be issued about the Inspectors for Hassan and Kadur Districts, I write to state that Mr. Venkatesaiya Horticultural Inspector was permitted to continue to work at Hassan till the end of August 1931 as no final orders have been received up till now and his pay bill for the months of July and August has been returned by the Comptroller on the ground that sanction of Government for the continuance of the appointment during 1931-32 is necessary to admit the claim.

As the official is put with much inconvenience for want of his pay, I request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained meeting the cost either from the Horticultural Farm Temporary Labour as per above G.O. or from the balance of Rs. 156-2-4.. available from the pay of the Garden Assistant after debiting the sum of Rs. 183-13-8 for payment towards 2nd year's crop compensation as per Government Pro. No. E. 165-7866-26.. dated 14-8-1931....

Yours sincerely,

Director of Horticulture.

To
B. T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

BKS.1st.

G.G 75-30-16

EDUCATION BRANCH
12 SEP 1931
GEN. & REV. SECT.

Telegraphic address
"Horti., Bangalore,"
Telephone No. 258.

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

No. 48

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore,

9.7.1931.

FROM

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
DIRECTOR OF HORTICULTURE IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.H 873/G.G. 75-30-11 dated 25th instant requesting to point out the source from the sanctioned grants of this Department to meet the cost of the continuance of the waste land plantation at Tumkur, I have the honor to state that the only source available is a sum of Rs.2340/- provided for the pay of the Assistant in the gardens Department in the current year's budget. Out of which a sum of Rs.1083-13-8 has already been ordered to be debited to pay the crop compensation for the second year as per Government Pro. No.E.765-7/G.G.66-26 dated 14th instant leaving still a balance of Rs.1256-2-4.

The necessary sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the continuance of the wasteland plantation for this year debiting the sum of Rs.800/- required during the current year from the source pointed out in the above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Horticulture.

BKS.lst.

G. 975-30-17

TEL. ADDRESS: "PRESBOARD"

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

A2 No. 52-31 Hassan, Dated 31st August 1931.
ENCLS. nil.



FROM

H. B. GUNDAPPA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

TO

H. T. Keshaviengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Development
Department, Bangalore.

THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN DISTRICT.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.A.2 52-31, dated 20/22nd July 31, in the matter of meeting the cost of the Horticultural Inspector from District Funds, I have the honor to state that the District Board at their meeting held on 14th August 1931, considered the subject and approved the resolution of the Standing Committee which resolved as follows:-

> "Resolved that owing to the difficult financial position of the Board it is not possible to meet the pay of the Inspector. A submission may be made to Government to retain his services in the District for some years more as there are signs of development of Horticulture in the District"

I therefore request that you will be so good as to kindly obtain orders of Government to renew the continuance of the services of the Inspector in this district for a few years at least.

P.R. 31-31
5-9-31

A copy of the resolution of the Board is enclosed.

I have the honor tobe,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. B. Gundappa
President.

Forwarded with compliments
G. M. Hebbalkar
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan 8/-

60

Proceedings of the First Ordinary meeting of the Hassan District Board, held on 14th Aug.31.

Present

H.B.Gundappa, Esq.,B.A., B.L., President,

Ex-officio members. Four Non-officials. Twenty-one.

x x x

8. Development Secretary's letter No.E.241-2/G.S. 75-30-5, dated 13th July 31, calling for the opinion of the Board in the matter of meeting the cost of the Horticultural Inspector from District Funds.

Resolution of the standing committee.

"Resolved that owing to the difficult financial position of the Board it is not possible to meet the pay of the Inspector. A submission may be made to Government to retain his services in the District for some years more as there are signs of development of Horticulture in the District".

Resolution. Resolution of the Standing Committee is approved.

x x x

Sd. H.B.Gundappa,
President, District Board, Hassan.

"Extract".

H. B. Gundappa

President,
District Board, Hassan.

Telegraphic address
"Horti., Bangalore."
Telephone No. 258.

EDUCATION BRANCH
12 SEP. 1931
954

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

No. 632

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore,
15/11-9 1931

FROM

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
DIRECTOR OF HORTICULTURE IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH,
BANGALORE.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
12 SEP. 1931

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to letter No. 103/T.A.D i dated 20th ultimo addressed to you from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, copy of which has been sent to me for obtaining the sanction to the allotment to meet the pay of the Horticultural Inspector, Bangalore, and the maintenance of the Horticultural School, I have the honour to state that in accordance with the ~~six~~ instructions contained in Government Pro. No.E.404-6/G.G.75-30-6, I wrote two letters to the Comptroller one suggesting that in view of the employment of more labor and to ensure the anticipated income, the cost may be met - out of the income realiziable month by month and the other that if this arrangement is not possible, it might be met out of the Temporary cooly labor. Necessary sanction of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Horticulture.

BKS).

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

7 OCT. 1931

Immediate

D. O. No. 59.

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, Esq., F.R.H.S.,
Director.

EDUCATIONAL BRANCH
8 OCT. 1931
1370
GEN. & R.S. SECTION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,
Lal Bagh, Bangalore, 7-10-1931.

C 1659 R 8

Dear Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar,

I write to inform you that in respect of the continuance of Horticultural Inspectors and the Horticultural School sanctioned in Government Pro. No. F.404-6/Eg75-30-6 dated 27·7·1931 the Comptroller is repeatedly urging this Department to point out the source from which the cost of the establishments are to be met inspite of writing to him that it may be met either from the Temporary Labor provided under the Horticultural Farm or under the Sale proceeds of the Farm as per Government order quoted above. As these two items come under the statement of extra grants required for this Department as per my D. O. No. 53 dated 21st ultimo already addressed to you, I shall be much obliged if you will kindly obtain early orders in the matter at your earliest convenience.

1282 Recd Br 29/9

Immediate

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B. A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

BKS).

G. O. 75-30-19

No. L. B. 582/30-31

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

33

Dated, Chickmagalur 4th November 1931

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY.
10 NOV. 1931

From

HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO, Esquire,
President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

EDUCATION BRANCH
10 NOV. 1931

To

B. T. Keshava Iyengar, Esquire., B.A.,

~~General~~ Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, BANGALORE

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District, CHICKMAGALUR.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. E. 594/G.G. 75-30-10 dated the 7th August 1931 calling for the resolution of the Board in -- regard to the Board meeting pay of the Horticultural Inspector and in continuance of this office letter No. L.B. 582/30-31 dated 17th/22nd August 1931 in the matter, I have the honour to state that that when the subject came up for consideration at their meeting held on the 8th and 9th October 1931, the District Board resolved that it is not possible to meet the pay of the Horticultural Inspector for want of funds.

A copy of their resolution is herein enclosed for favour of information.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

8710

President,

Kadur District Board.

N.R. Forwarded with compliments.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

6/XI

Extract from the Proceedings of the meeting of the Kadur District Board convened on the 8th and 9th October 1931.

****

(84)

Subject No. 46.

Letter No. E. 241-2/V.C. dated 75-30-5 dated 13th July 1931 from the Development Secretary to Government requesting to forward the opinion of the Board if they would agree to meet the cost of the post of the Horticultural Inspector and the correspondence ending with this office letter No. 582 dated - 22-8-1931 intimating that the resolution of the Board on the subject will be communicated in due course.

Resolution of the Joint Committee:- Not possible for want of funds.

Resolution:- Not possible for want of funds.

Sd/- Hosakoppa Krishna Rao,

President,

Kadur District Board.

" C O P Y "

President,

Kadur District Board.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Sec. G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 20

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

( ) .

the *193* .

Date of issue 24/11/31

Signed by G.E.W.

Read—

G.O. No E 404-6/ G.G 75-30-6 dated the 27th July 1931 ... sanctioning the continuance of the Horticultural School for a period of one year from 1st July 1931 at a cost of Rs 1460/- per annum and two Horticultural Inspectors, one being stationed at Bangalore and the other being posted to K.R.S to supervise the Terrace Gardens and the orchard cultivation near K.R.S. and directing that the services of the Inspectors at Hassan & Chickmagalur be dispensed with unless the respective Dt Boards agree to bear the cost of their

May this be

(i) Printed ? Yes M

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

appointments. 86

Letters Nos A2 52-31 of 31-8-31

and 352/30-31 dated 4-11-31

~~from~~ from the Presidents of the St

Boards of Hassan and Kadur ~~the~~ respecting Kaps K & L

forwarding copies of the resolutions of

their Boards expressing their inability

to meet the pay of the Horti Inspectors.

Correspondence ending with

letter No 59 dated the 7th Oct 1931

from the Director of Horticulture

requesting in the circumstances

explained sanction ~~for~~ to the

continuance of the wasteland plantation

at Tumkur, and to meet the

pay of the Horticultural Inspector at B'lore,

the cost of

~~and~~ the Horticultural School

and ~~including~~ the pay of the Horticultural

Inspector at Hassan for the months

of July & August 1931 from the grant

under Temporary Govt Labour, of the

Horticultural farm. or ~~under~~ from the sale

proceeds of the farms. or from the

Savings of the pay of the post of the Gardens Assistant

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560—GPB—11,250—2-7-30

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

flat ✓

37

ordered to be kept vacant

G.O. No. E 1756-8/G.G 71-30-16. dated the 7th Nov. 1931 accepting the increases agreed to by the Director of Horticulture in the budget grants of the Gardens Dept for 1931-32 and directing that separate orders will issue on the question of extra grants required and the necessary reappropriation of funds for the purpose

Order. {2028-33 G 24.11.31}

As the District Boards of Hassan and Kadur have not agreed to bear the cost of the Horticultural Inspectors (garden districts) Govt direct that the services of ~~the Horticultural Inspectors at Hassan and Kadur~~ (these) be dispensed with as directed in the G.O. dated 27th July 31 read above.

The proposal of the Director to continue the wasteland plantation at Tumkur at a cost of Rs 800/- is sanctioned, the question of recovering a portion of the cost from the Dt Board Tumkur and the

WD 72—GBPM—500—15-7-31

Tumkur Town Municipality be kept considered separately. 96

Govt are also pleased to direct that the cost on account of the 1) Horticultural Inspector at Bangalore for the current year 2) the Horticultural Inspector at Hassan for the months of July and August 1931 3) the Horticultural Assistant for the current year 4) the wastelands plantation for the current year as agreed to by the Director be met from the grants ~~under~~ for Temporary Coolie Labour under Horticultural Farm in the budget of the Garden Deptt for the current year..

2) The Dir is requested to send up an Reappropriation Statement duly Verified by the Comptr.

Dr
19/11

Ce 14/11
& Dev Secy

To The Director of Horticulture
The Comptroller Mysore
The President, Dt Board of Hassan & Kadur
The President Dt Board Tumkur
The D.C. Tumkur

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 21

G 2241-2

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... No.

To The President Dt. Board Punalur
The D.C. Tuticorin

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

In continuation of G.O. No E 2028-33 G.G 75-30-20 dated the 24th Nov 1931 sanctioning, among other things, the continuance of the wasteland plantation at Punalur at a cost of Rs 800/- and stating that the question of recovering a portion of the cost from the Dt. Board and Municipality of Punalur respectively will be considered separately, I am directed ~~to state that Govt consider that the~~ to request that Govt. consider the question of recovering a portion of the cost ~~has to be raised~~ from the ~~Dt. Board Punalur~~ Municipality Punalur and to request

Date of issue 11-12-31

Signed by

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

You to be so good as to ~~obtain~~ favour Govt. with ~~and forward~~ the opinion of the Dt. Board Municipality

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

in the matter to ascertain how much they would be willing to contribute accordingly

~~as to the portion of the expenditure~~

it would be willing to ~~share~~ Contribute, toward the ~~expenditure~~

A very early reply in the matter is requested

Thaveck

Ca
9/12
& Dewsecy.

BK
5-12-31.

(41)

§ 2241-2
§§ 75-80

11th December 1931.

To

The President, District Board, Tumkur. through the D.C. Tumkur.

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

In continuation of Government Order No.M.2028-33/A.S.75-30-20 dated the 24th November 1931 sanctioning, among other things, the continuance of the wasteland plantation at Tumkur at a cost of ~~---~~ Rs.800/- and stating that the question of recovering a portion of the cost from the District Board and Municipality of Tumkur respectively, will be considered separately, I am directed to state that Government consider that the ~~District Board~~ Municipal Council should bear a portion of the cost of maintaining the plantation and to request you to be so good as to favour Government with the opinion of the ~~District Board~~ Municipality in the matter and to intimate how much they would be willing to ~~---~~ contribute.

A very early reply in the matter is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd)

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Dev.S.

Tc.1326/31-32 Enc

EDUCATIONAL & ANO... 9 JAN. 1932 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

42

Dated, Tumkur, the 22nd December 1931

2561

G. G. 75-30-22

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

To

B.T. Kesavaiengar, Esquire, B.A.,
~~RAMANGAXRAO, ESQUIRE, B.A.,~~
~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Development, Department, BANGALORE.

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER No 2209 DEC 23 1931 TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2241-2/98-75-30 dated 11th December 1931 requesting me to intimate the views of the Board regarding the portion of the cost that will be paid by the District Board towards waste land plantation at Tumkur, I have the honour to state that the Board already resolved at their meeting held on 6-8-1931 that a sum of Rs. 100/- may be paid provided the Municipality of Tumkur and the Government are to meet the balance of the cost. A copy of the resolution of the Board is enclosed for perusal.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

K. Narayana Setty,
For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETT. 9 JAN ... BD.

Forwarded with compliments.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dt

N. Dis 98 5399 Enc (1)

4186

28/12/31

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board, Tumkur District, held in the Deputy Commissioner's Courthall on the 6th August 1931 at 12 noon.

93

Subject No. 56.

Deputy~~x~~.Commissioner's D.C. No. 173 dated 25-6-1931 requesting to be informed if it is possible to take over the plantation (nursery at Tumkur)

Resolution of the Economic Committee:- A sum of Rs 100/- may be paid provided the Municipality of Tumkur and the Government agree to meet the balance of the cost.

Resolution:- The resolution of the Economic Committee is approved.

" E x t r a c t "

K. Narayana Rao,
For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

/SD.

D. [illegible]

G. R. 7-30-2.3

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

No. E.C. 173/30-31
Enc. 1

Dated Tumkur, 23rd March 1932

From

H. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esq:, B.A.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

DEVELOPMENT SECRET
26 MAR 1932

EDUCATION BRANCH
26 MAR 1932
3254
GEN.

To

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq:, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development *Department,*
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.E. 2241-2/88-75-30 dated 11th December 1931, calling for the opinion of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council in the matter of - bearing a portion of the cost of maintaining the waste land plantation at Tumkur, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 23-12-31 stating that it is not possible for them to make any grant towards this scheme.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. Sundararaja Iyengar 23/3/32

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Ad. 23/3

(Absent from the station.)

23/3/32

23-12-1931 నాడు కౌంసిలు సమావేశం కాగా సాయంత్రం గంటలకు 5-30 నిమిషములకు తుమకూరు మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలు అధ్యక్షులు మాన్యశ్రీ వెంకటరమణయ్యగారి అధ్యక్షతన సమావేశమయినది.

45

Present:-

1. Mr. H.Venkataramia, B.A.,B.L., President.
2. " C.Krishna Rao., B.A.,B.L.
3. " K. Narasingar., B.A., B.L.
4. " T.Gurunanjiah., B.A.,L.L.
5. " B.Mallappa.
6. " T.Venkatappa.
7. " Makam Gurappa Setty.
8. " Yedada Yejaman Siddappa.
9. " Lakshmayya.
10. " C.Lakshmana Rao., B.A.,L.L.

The rest were absent.

x x x

XII కుంకుంలు మహిళలు రుణ మోక్ష లభ్యం దుకై ఈ పట్టణమున్ను ఎలుకలు బాధ మరియు రోగముల నిరోధించుటకు మరియు పట్టణంలోని వివిధ ప్రదేశములలో ప్లేగు వ్యాధి ప్రబలియుండుటచే, ఈ వ్యాధి రాకుండా ఉండుటకు, ఈ క్రింది ఏర్పాట్లు చేయుటకు, ఈ క్రింది ఖర్చులకు మంజూరు చేయుటకు ఈ సభ నిర్ణయించి ఆజ్ఞాపించుచున్నది.

Resolved that it is not possible to make any grant.

x x x

Sd/- H.Venkataramia,
President.

"COPY"

H.Venkataramia
President,
Town Municipal Council,
Tumkur.

GNR/3/1

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT ..... Veld

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... 6 Copies

Sec. G. G

File No: 75-30

Serial No. 24

General and Revenue Secretariat

( ) *the* 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 12.4.32

Signed by Dr. C. R. Narasimha

Read—

G.O. No E 2028-33/G.G 75-30-20 dated the 24th Nov 1931 sanctioning the continuance of the Wattle plantation at Tumkur at a cost of Rs 800/- per annum and directing that the question of the recovery of a portion of the cost from the Dt. Board Tumkur and the Tumkur Town Municipality be considered separately

2) Correspondence ending with letter No 7.C. 1389/31-32 dated the 22nd Dec 1931 from the Divisional Dt Board Tumkur stating that the Board have resolved

May this be

(i) Printed ? Flag S1

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

By

12/4

at their meeting held on 6/8/31 that a sum of Rs 100/- may be paid towards the cost of the plantation referred to in the G.O. read above provided the Municipality of Tumkur and the Govt agree to meet the balance of the cost.

Letter No. E.C. 173/30-31 dated the 23rd March 32 from the D.C. Tumkur forwarding the resolution of the Town Municipal Council of Tumkur stating that it is not possible to make any grant towards the maintaining of the waste land plantation

Order 41 S 2-5.

Govt. are pleased to direct that the cost of Rs 800/- f.a.a. in accordance at Tumkur the wasteland plantation be shared between the Horticulture Department (Rs 700/-) and the District Board of Tumkur (Rs 100/-) and that necessary provision be made therefor in the respective budgets

Cr 874

Dev Secy

To
The Dr of Horticulture
~~The Comptroller thro' D~~
The President D.C. Board Tumkur
The D.C. Tumkur
Copy to L.S.G. Branch

8/4

8-4-32.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560 --GPB --11,250--2-7-30

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No. F. 2028-33/G.O. 75-30-20, dated the 24th November 1931, sanctioning the continuance of the waste-land plantation at Tumkur at a cost of Rs. 800/- per annum and directing that the question of the recovery of a portion of the cost from the District Board, Tumkur and the Tumkur Town Municipality be considered separately.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. P.C. 1389/31-32, dated the 22nd December 1931, from the President, District Board, Tumkur, stating that the Board have resolved at their meeting held on 6th August 1931 that a sum of Rs. 100/- may be paid towards the cost of the plantation referred to in the Government Order read above provided the Municipality of Tumkur and the Government agree to meet the balance of the cost.

3. Letter No. M.J. 173/30-31, dated the 23rd March 1932, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, forwarding the resolution of the Town Municipal Council of Tumkur, stating that it is not possible to make any grant towards the maintenance of the waste land plantation.

Order No. 4152-5-G.G. 75-30-20.
Bangalore, dated the 12th April 1932.

Government are pleased to direct that the cost of Rs. 800/- per annum on account of waste-land plantation at Tumkur be shared between the Horticultural Department (Rs. 700/-) and the District Board of Tumkur (Rs. 100/-) and that necessary provision be made therefor in the respective budgets.

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The Director of Horticulture in Lalbagh.
The Comptroller to Government thro' Finl. Sectt.
The President, District Board, Tumkur through the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Tum. 10.

G. G 75-30-25

No. F.C. 1389/31-32.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

Dated, Tumkur the 5th May 1932.

EDUCATIONAL 13 MAY 1932 I GEN. & REV. SECTT.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER. No. 3989 MAY 7 1932 TUMKUR DT.

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
GENERAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY 13 MAY 1932 No. 8811

Through

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 4152-5 ~~Edn~~ G G. 75-30-24 dated 12th April 1932, in the matter of continuing the wasteland -- plantation at Tumkur, I have the honour to invite your kind reference to this office letter No. F. C. 1387 dated 22nd December 1932 and to state that the District Board had made a conditional grant. As the Municipality, Tumkur, who derive benefit from the nursery have not agreed to pay any grant, the District Board also cannot agree to pay the contribution. Further, the grant promised by the Board was for one year only and not as a permanent recurring grant.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

K Narayana Setty

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

Ref. 4929

FORWARDED WITH COMPLIMENTS

N.S. Iyenga
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT 50

.....

.....

Urgent

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 26

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. E. 4824.

To The Director of Horticulture

Sir.

In inviting your reference to G.O. No 4152-5/G.G 75-30-26 dated the 12th April 1932 re. the abandonment of the Cost of the Wasteland plantation at Trincomalee, I am directed to state that the President. Dt. Board Trincomalee has since intimated the inability of the Board to make the permanent for the purpose recurring grant, and to request you to be so good as to state whether the Cost cannot be reduced to Rs 700/- i.e. the amount of the Govt contribution ~~in order to omit the Contribution hitherto made by the Dt Board, and the entire charge being debited to State funds.~~

I am to request the favour of A very early reply in the matter

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 7.6.32

Signed by H.V.C.J. Wardrop

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

~~is required~~

57

Thanks

cc
4/6

Dewsbery

Yours

2.6.32.

G.G 75-30-27

52

Telegraphic address
"Horti., Bangalore."
Telephone No. 252

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

EDUCATION BRANCH
10 JUN 1932

No. 248

Lal Bagh, Bangalore,
9th June 1932.

FROM

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
DIRECTOR OF HORTICULTURE IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH,
BANGALORE.

DEVE SECRETARY
10 JUN 1932

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.E.4824/G.G.75-30-26 dated 6th instant enquiring whether the cost of Rs. 800/- Sanctioned in Government Pro. No. 4152-5/G.G. 75-30-24 dated 12-4-1932 for the maintenance of Wasteland Plantation at Tumkur cannot be reduced to Rs. 700/- in view of the President of the Board having intimated his inability to make a Permanent recurring grant for the purpose, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs. 800/- has now been provided in the Provisional Budget for 1932-33 and as such the amount may kirdly be allowed to stand.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Director of Horticulture.

VSR.

Appendix F G—1]

53

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section G. 9

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 28

Immediate

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. E. 5030

To The Dr of Horticulture

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

With reference to your letter No 248 dated 9/6/32 in regard to the charges for the maintenance of the Wasteland plantation at Tumbur, I am directed to state that your reply to this office letter No 4824/G.9 75-30.26 dated 6/6/32 enquiring whether the cost cannot be reduced to Rs 500/- is not ~~cancel~~ definite clear and to request you to be so good as to ~~state whether~~ furnish definite reply immediately ~~the cost of the maintenance of the plantation cannot be reduced from Rs 800/- to Rs 700/-~~

Date of issue 22-6-32

Signed by Mr. C. S. Narasimha

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

As no immediate reply in the matter is required

I have etc

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

BR 20.6.32.

Ce 2076

Deputy

Telegraphic address
"Horti., Bangalore."
Telephone No. 265.

54

EDUCATIONAL BRANCH
30 JUN. 1932

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

No. 261

Lal-Bagh, Bangalore,
24th June 193 2.

G. G 75-30-29

FROM

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, ESQ., F.R.H.S.,
DIRECTOR OF HORTICULTURE IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH,
BANGALORE.

DEVELOPMENT SECRET.
30 JUN 1932

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Reductionof Grant made for the Tumkur Wasteland Plantaion.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.E.5030/G.G.75-30-28 dated 22nd instant requesting to furnish definite reply with regard to the reduction of grant of Rs 800/- to Rs 700/-, I have the honor to state that in view of the fact that the President District Board having expressed his - inability to contribute the sum of Rs 100/-, I stated that the provision of Rs 800/- already made in the next year's budget may kindly be allowed to stand, on the ground that the reduction of Rs 100/- would affect the effecient maintenance of the plantation and Nursery.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Director of Horticulture.

VSR.

55

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

..... Draft

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 30

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. E 212

To The Superintendent

Gat Gardens

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 16.7.32

I will refer to Correspondence ending with your office letter No 261 dated the 27th June 1932 in regard to the maintenance of the wasteland plantation at Tumkur. I am directed to request you to be so good as to suggest a reappropriation for a sum of Rs 100/- from the Grants of your Dept for 1932-33 as the District Board are unwilling to contribute their quota ~~they promised~~ before.

Signed by Mr. C. S. ...

I have etc

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 14/7

for Secretary

Examined by 12.7.32

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT ..... Forest Offs

.....

.....

Section G. G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 31

Immediate

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. E 213

To The D.C. Tumkur.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No E.C 173/30-31 dated the 23rd March 1932 forwarding a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council, Tumkur re their inability to contribute towards the maintenance of the Wasteland plantation, Tumkur, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of ~~the~~ letter No F.C. 1389/31-32 dated the 6th May 1932 from the Provincial Divisional Board Tumkur, from which it will be seen that the Board are unwilling to ~~state that because the Municipality does not pay the~~ share in the case; because the Municipality will not do so. ~~District Board is also unwilling to pay.~~ ~~share.~~

I am to point out that ~~In this Connection I am to state that~~ Government observe that the plantation and nursery are of value both to the

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue ..... 16.7.32 .....

Signed by ..... M.V. Govinda .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ..... Sd Illegible 15/7

Examined by .....

Municipality and to the District Board and
that it is not right for the Bodies to refuse to pay towards
the maintenance of the Mandalay Leper Asylum
solely for the benefit of the ~~institution~~ Town
~~but in regard to which~~ for which ~~a large part the major part~~
~~of the expenditure is contributed from the~~
State funds, and I am, therefore, to request you to be
so good as to move the Municipal
Council of Rangoon to reconsider their
decision and intimate to Govt. the
result of action taken in the matter at a
very early date.

I have etc

C
14/7

Secy

GH
12.7.32.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT ..... Trees Dfs 3

Section G.G

File No. 75-30

Serial No. 32

Immediate

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. E 214

To The President Dt Board Pumbler

Through the D.C. Pumbler

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir:

Date of issue 16.7.32

I have refer to Correspondence ending with your letter No 7.C. 1389/31-32 dated the 6th May 1932 re the Contribution towards the maintenance of the wasteland plantation at Pumbler,

Signed by Mr. C.S. Under

I am directed to state that Govt Consider that the payment of the grant by the Dt Board ~~under~~ cannot be entertained, as a large part of the expenditure is met by Govt and as the plantation and nursery are intended for local benefit, I am also to add that the Municipality of Pumbler has also been requested to contribute its quota and that it is not right to hold that the grant for a work of this kind was intended to be given for only one year

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

~~In the circumstances referred to above~~

~~I am directed~~ to request you to

be so good as to place the matter

again before the ~~Dist~~ Board for reconsideration and intimate

~~to him~~ their decision ~~in the matter~~

at a very early date

I have etc

C

14/7

Dev Secy

BR

12.7.32.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT,

G.2-C.88/32-33
Ec. Aa.

Dated, Tumkur, the 25th July *193*2

From

D. S. MALLAPPA, ESQ., M.L.C.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
No 1063
JUL 29 1932
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Development Department, BANGALORE.

472
86-8-32
Y.4.75-30-32.

Through

GENERAL SECRETARY.
6 AUG. 1932
No

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, TUMKUR.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. E. 214 G.G. 75-30-32 dated 16-7-1932 regarding payment of contribution towards the maintenance of the waste land plantation at Tumkur, I have the honour to state that the Government Order No. 4152-5 Edn. 75-30-24 dated 12-4-1932 had been placed before the Board at their meeting held on the 11th and 12th July 1932 and they resolved not to pay any annual contribution for the purpose. A copy of the resolution of the Board is enclosed for perusal.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Narayana Setty

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

G2 1063 32-33

FORWARDED WITH COMPLIMENTS

H C Nanjundaiah

Deputy Commissiner,
Tumkur District

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board, Tumkur District, held in the Deputy Commissioner's Courthall on the 11th and 12th July 1932.

61

Subject No. 26.

Government Order No. 4152 dated 12-4-1932 directing that the cost of maintaining wasteland plantation at Tumkur viz. Rs 800/- be shared by Government and the District Board.

Resolution:- The District Board are not prepared to make an annual grant towards this item of expenditure. The Board had agreed to pay a sum of Rs 100/- if the Tumkur Municipality agreed to pay an equal sum. Since the Municipality has not agreed to pay any amount, no amount need be paid by the Board.

" E x t r a c t "

K Narayana Rao

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

No. L.Dis.C.218/32-33
Enc. One.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated, Tumkur, the.....14th October...*1932*.

FROM

H. V. RAMASVAMI, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,

1476

To

R. Ranga Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore
General *Department,*
BANGALORE.



G. G 75-30-34

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.E.213/G.G.75-30-31 dated 16th July 1932 and the subsequent reminder thereon, requesting me to obtain and forward the views of the Municipal Council at Tumkur in the matter of their agreeing to bear their quota towards the maintenance of the waste land plantation at Tumkur, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the resolution passed at a meeting of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council held on 28-9-1932, stating that no part of the cost of maintenance can be met from the Municipal Funds.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. V. Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

14/10/32

ది 28.9.32 రి న్ల రిందకో ఇచ్చు పాంర నాంయుం పాల వారంటీ శ్రీకృష్ణ రాంకోర్ట్
టవర్ ఇ ఇనళ్ల నడీద యు నాళి తీళి కో రీళితో యుంక్రి స్ట్రీ ఇడాం స్ట్రీ పాలి-

63

Present:-

1. Mr. H.Venkataramia., B.A.,B.L.,President.
2. " G.Mchamad Ali Sahib.
3. " D.Rama Rao.
4. " T.N.Venkatappa.
5. " M.V.Sivappa.
6. " Makam Gurappa Setty.
7. " T.Gurunanjaiah., B.A.,B.L.
8. " C.Lakshmana Rao., B.A.,B.L.
9. " B.Mallappa.
10. " A.K.Sanjeevaiah.
11. Rev.E.C.Horler.
12. Mr. R.Venkata Rao.
13. " Lakshmayya.
14. The Executive Engineer,Tumkur Division,Tumkur.
15. Mr. C.Krishna Rao., B.A.,B.L.
16. The District Medical Officer,Tumkur District.

The rest were absent.

x x x

X) ఈ టవర్ ఇ నిర్మాణ వేళ్ళ ల్యాంప్ పోస్ట్ ల నిర్వహణ ఖర్చు రాష్ట్ర మునిసిపల్ ఫండు నుండి ఇవ్వ రాదని తీర్మానం.

Resolved that no part of the cost of maintenance can be met from the Municipal Funds.

x x x

Sd/- H.Venkataramia,
President.

"COPY"

H. Venkataramia

President,
Town Municipal Council,
Tumkur.

GNR/3/10

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government Order No. [illegible] 2052-33/G.G.75-30-30, dated 24th November 1931, sanctioning the continuance of the wasteland plantation, Tumkur, at a cost of Rs 600/- the question of recovering a portion of the cost from the District Board and the Town Municipality of Tumkur being considered separately; and correspondence ending with letter No. [illegible] 212/G.G.75-30-33, dated the 16th July 1932 to the Superintendent, Government Gardens proposals for the calling for/ reappropriation for a sum of Rs 100/- from the grants of his department to meet the cost of the plantation as the District Board and the Municipality, Tumkur, failed to contribute their quota.

2. Government Order No. [illegible] P1.4144-6/G.F.121-31-5 dated the 10th December 1931, approving the proposals of the University to the refund of a sum of Rs 1,200/- due to them by providing the sum in the budget of the Gardens Department for 1932-33 and withdrawing the debit to the University; and the correspondence ending with letter No. 20, dated the 11th August 1932 from the Superintendent Government Gardens, requesting, in the circumstances explained, sanction for a sum of Rs 1,800/- as an additional grant to meet the charges referred to above.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. [illegible] 737 dated 31st August 1932 to the Superintendent, Government Gardens, in the matter of his request for a sum of Rs 1,200/- asked for as help to the Mysore Horticultural Society, Lal Bagh, to make good the loss sustained by them on account of the Horticultural show held on 5th February 1932.

4. Government Order No. [illegible] C33-5/G.G.20-31-9 dated the 5th September 1932, directing among others, that separate orders will issue in respect of the amount required to meet the cost

65

charges due to the Mysore City Municipality for the period from the 1st January 1932 to the end of June 1933; and correspondence ending with letter No.F.906/G.G.15-32-3 dated the 10th September 1932 to the Superintendent, Government Gardens, calling for re-appropriation in the current year's budget to meet the water charges for the aforesaid period.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.193 dated the 9th May 1933, from the Superintendent, Government Gardens, stating that the only source available for reappropriation is the pay of the Gardens Assistant (Rs 175 plus 20 C.A. per mensem) viz., Rs 2,340/- of which a sum of Rs 1,854/- is proposed to be utilised for constructing urinals and latrines in the Cubbon Park leaving a balance of Rs 786/- and requesting an additional grant to the Department for meeting the balance of charges noted below:-

1.	Rs 7,000/-	Water charges.
2.	" 1,200/-	Refund to the University.
3.	" 1,000/-	Loss of the Horticultural Society.
4.	" 100/-	Wasteland plantation.
Total	Rs. 9,300/-	

ORDER NO: E 4230-31/Rg 15-32-12

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th June 1933.

The Superintendent, Government Gardens, was requested to suggest reappropriations in the current year's budget to meet the charges amounting to Rs 9,300/- as noted above, as some of them could not be included in the budget of 1932-33. In his letter dated 9th May 1933, he has stated that no reappropriations are possible under the several heads of grants of his Department except the pay of the Garden Assistant viz., Rs 2,340/- out of which a sum of Rs 1,854/- is proposed to be utilised for

66

of latrines in the Cubbon Park leaving a balance of Rs 786/-. He has, therefore, requested for an additional grant to his department for meeting the balance of the charges.

2. After further consultation with the Superintendent in the matter, Government consider that the absolute requirements of the department would seem to Rs 6,900/- as detailed in the margin, and that by reappropriation in the current year's budget grants a sum of Rs 3,440/- as noted in the margin can be made available for the purpose, the construction of latrines in Cubbon Park referred to above being taken up or paid for in July from the grants to the Gardens Department for 1933-34.

1. Rs 5,000/-	Water charges to the Bowring City Municipality for the period from 1-1-1932 to 31-3-1933.
2. " 1,200/-	Transfer of grants to the University.
3. " 100/-	Wasteland plantation.

* margin.

1. Rs 2,340/-	pay of the Garden Assistant.
2. " 1,100/-	savings from the 'Improvements and other charges of Parks and Minor Gardens'.

3. In the circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction an additional grant of Rs 3,440/- to the Department for the current year in order to meet the balance of the charges of Rs 6,900/- referred to above. A demand for the amount will be placed before the Legislative Council at its next session.

4. The Superintendent is requested to submit immediately the necessary reappropriation statements for Rs 3,440/- in order that the outstandings during the current year may be adjusted.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

The Superintendent, Government Gardens.

* Comptroller to Government,
through Financial Department.